

Parking Advisory Council Meeting
November 17, 2006
2:00 p.m.
A&F Conference Room

MINUTES

Members Present: Everett Malcolm, (Chair), Alison Cruess (approved A&P voting member per VP Shari Shuman), Dennis Holler, Chip Klostermeyer, Nick Peres, John Simms (approved SGA voting member by VP Shari Shuman), Diane Tanner, Corey Trent, Mike Trotter and Marco Urbano.

Members Absent: Jeff Durfee, A.J. Souto.

Others Present: Michael Ballentine (UPD), Dave Dahlberg (Residence Life), Tami Livingston (Spinnaker), Paul Riel (University Housing) and Vince Smyth (Auxiliary Services).

The meeting was called to order at 2:05 p.m. by Chair Everett Malcolm.

1. Approval of Minutes

The minutes from the 10/20/06 meeting were approved as recorded.

2. Designation of Parking for 2007-08

Before going to Proposal presentations, Everett Malcolm shared a conversation he had with VP Shari Shuman and VP Mauricio Gonzalez. The Vice Presidents agreed that everything will be contingent on the outcome of the potential shuttle system. Additional information on the system will be available by December 7. Without detailed information on the routes, running schedule, etc., of the shuttle, recommendations sent forward to VP Shuman may be returned to the Council for reconsideration, or the President may select one of the proposals sent forward.

Residential Student Parking Proposal for 2007-2009 - Attachment 1

Paul Riel presented a Proposal to designate housing parking lots for residential students only. The Proposal is designed to keep the residential population within proximity of where they live. People have an expectation to park close to where they live. Residential students are not required to live on Campus, but choose to. Most Residential students live within a five County region of the Campus. Many live locally, but want to live on Campus. Access to services for groceries, a copy center, dry cleaner, etc. are not within walking distance of the Campus. The Proposal designates Lots 9, 10, 11, 12, two floors of the Parking Garage (44), and 100 plus spaces at the University Center. This would be temporary for two years (2007-2009) until new housing is built on the Eastern Ridge. It is not one-to-one parking. Approximately 75% to 80% of residents purchase decals. Currently there are 1750 spaces. The Proposal recommends keeping that same number for the next two years.

The Proposal does not recommend the overflow of students to park on Lot 18. This would open up issues of safety, security and the necessity for the shuttle to run more frequently. The Proposal advocates that another group park on Lot 18.

Paul Riel further said that without parking within proximity of where students live, it is believed that the number of students living on Campus will be reduced. UNF is committed to provide housing for as many freshmen as possible on the Campus and

currently house over 80% of freshmen. If they are forced to park in Lot 18, this seems contradictory.

Everett Malcolm mentioned that there are approximately 1600 FTIC's housed this year. He asked if UNF takes into consideration and gives priority to students who live out of the area or out of state when an application for housing is submitted. Paul Riel said that priority was set for the first time this fall. Also, some local high profile students were housed. The priority is to provide housing on Campus for as many students as possible. All rooms are tripled. The President has said on several occasions that he wants Campus life, activities in the evening and weekend.

Paul Riel thanked the Council for the opportunity to present the Proposal.

Proposal from SGA Representatives - Attachment 2

Everett Malcolm opened the floor to the students on the Council to present their Proposal. See Attachment 2 for details. In addition to representing Housing students, the SGA representatives said they also represent a large population in transient student. In reviewing the faculty plan and residential proposal, they feel they have come up with a good compromise. One change should be made in the handout:

Residential - 133 spaces instead of 33 at UC (for a total of 1622)

They pointed out the following differences in the Student Proposal and the Faculty Proposal:

- Designated Spaces - Student Proposal has 170 and Faculty Proposal has 260. The reason is not knowing about the AOL Building.
- Lot 9 - reallocated 70 spaces for designated, 51 premium and 41 for residential students.
- Lot 1 - taken out of designated spaces and put in premium.
- 4th Floor Garage - removed from premium and allotted to Housing

Everett Malcolm mentioned that both the Housing and Student proposals are asking to take more spaces from UC. He asked Vince Smyth how many times per year the entire 630 spaces are used for events. Vince Smyth said that he did not know without researching. Everett Malcolm asked if students could be restricted from parking at UC on the weekends. Vince Smyth said that from an operational standpoint it would be difficult to do this.

Marco Urbano asked for clarification that spaces at AOL were not included in the proposals since it is unknown what is happening with this project. This was confirmed. He also asked for clarification that designated parking is only available for faculty and staff. Cory Trent said that SGA representatives did not include in their Proposal making designated parking available to students. In dialogue with the people they represent, it was felt that \$170 is what the majority want to pay for parking. Their Proposal included designated parking for faculty (including adjunct) and staff.

Nick Peres pointed out that the Student Proposal also recommends in 09-10 and 10-11 that the 4th floor garage (Lot 44) be returned to premium parking.

Faculty Proposal - Attachment 3

Chip Klostermeyer said that in conversations with administration and Vince Smyth he does not think that administration will approve for residential students to park in the Parking Garage. He also said that he is uncertain how much opposition there is from administration to having residential students in Lot 18. The faculty proposal is trying to meet many of the restraints that Vince Smyth talked about. There is a need to increase designated spaces to reflect the increase of new faculty and staff. Financials have been done through the five-year plan and the faculty proposal meets the expectations of revenue. He thinks it is a realistic plan that could be approved by the administration and meets revenue.

Diane Tanner said that adding the 4th floor of the garage to residential will create people driving around and around in the garage and they will come down and park in restricted areas. The Faculty Proposal tried to eliminate that with our plan by putting the 2nd, 3rd and 4th floors together.

Diane Tanner asked who would approve for residential students to park in the 100 or so spaces at UC. Everett Malcolm said that the Parking Council could make the recommendation to VP Shari Shuman and it would be her decision whether to forward that proposal to the President. He further said that the lot at UC was built to support that building and its initiatives. The hope would be that a point is reached when the lot is filled five or six days per week to bring revenue into the University. The revenue that UC has generated will benefit funding for the Student Union. Everett Malcolm said that the Council must look at all sides. By taking spaces from UC, the Council is asking George Androuin to take a revenue cut. What we have before us is not easy. Michael Ballentine said that all spaces are used during the week at least once a month or more. Diane Tanner asked the likelihood of Shari Shuman approving 100 plus Residential parking at the UC. Everett Malcolm said he had a conversation with Shari Shuman about additional spaces at UC and her response was that the lot was built for the business of UC so that it would not have to be in competition, like the Arena, with the negative impact on the academic mission of the University. Vince Smyth said that that George Androuin will speak against it and if he had the same opportunity to address the Parking Council as Paul Riel did that he would have the same passion.

MOTION: Everett Malcolm made the motion to forward the Proposal to designate Housing parking lots for residential students only to Vice President Shari Shuman. The motion was seconded by Dennis Holler. The motion failed.

Vote:

Yes - Dennis Holler

No - Alison Cruess, Chip Klostermeyer, Nick Peres, John Simms, Diane Tanner, Cory Trent, Mike Trotter and Marco Urbano.

Abstain - Everett Malcolm

MOTION: Everett Malcolm made the motion to forward the Proposal (as amended with 100 spaces at UC) from SGA Representatives to Vice Shari Shuman. The motion was seconded by Nick Peres.

The motion passed.

Vote:

Yes - Dennis Holler, Nick Peres, John Simms, Cory Trent, and Everett Malcolm.

No - Chip Klostermeyer, Diane Tanner, Mike Trotter and Alison Cruess.

Abstain - Marco Urbano

MOTION: Everett Malcolm made the motion to forward the Proposal from Faculty Representatives to Vice Shari Shuman. The motion was seconded by Chip Klostermeyer. The motion passed.

Vote:

Yes - Alison Cruess, Chip Klostermeyer, Diane Tanner, Mike Trotter and Marco Urbano.

No - Dennis Holler, Nick Peres, Cory Trent and John Simms.

Abstain - Everett Malcolm

3. Other Business

- a. Vince Smyth presented a spreadsheet on decal pricing. He said that the biggest key is that \$2.766 million is needed for the parking garage in 10-11. This is for the 1.25 debt service ratio. He will send the spreadsheet to Council members for them to key in numbers.
- b. Everett Malcolm said that the minutes will go to the Council on Monday for review with comments to Paulette Sweeton on Tuesday. Then he will send the recommendations to VP Shari Shuman.
- c. The question was asked if the Council is in agreement that the parking spaces in the residential community should be a one-to-one ratio. Everett Malcolm asked if the Council is in agreement that in both proposals (SGA and Faculty) the total number of spaces allocated to the residential community be on one-to-one ratio. This does not mean that the one-to-one space constitutes a price tag like a reserved space. This would be for spaces in the Housing area.

MOTION: Everett Malcolm made a motion that the spaces allotted to Housing be allocated on a one-to-one ratio as in both proposals that have been moved forward to Vice President Shari Shuman. At this point, pricing for those spaces is not in the equation. The motion was seconded by Marco Urbano. Motion passed.

Vote:

Yes - Alison Cruess, Chip Klostermeyer, Everett Malcolm, Nick Peres, John Simms, Diane Tanner, Corey Trent and Marco Urbano.

No - Dennis Holler

Abstain - Mike Trotter

4. Future Meetings

- December 8 -
2:00 to 3:30 p.m. in the A&F Conference Room (1/2000)

The meeting was adjourned at 3:25 p.m.

Recorded by Paulette Sweeton